

ETHICS EXCERPT

The Compliant Enterprise

Ethics, Fiduciary Governance & Risk Management for Business Leaders

Alejandro Hernandez III, J.D.

ARH Global Advisors LLC

“Ethics in an organization is not primarily a compliance function. It is a leadership function. Leaders set the culture through their own conduct, through the conduct they reward, and through the decisions they make when no one is watching.”

This excerpt draws from Chapter Two: Ethics & Compliance Program Development and Chapter Ten: Building an Organizational Ethics Culture. It presents the core ethical framework of the manual in standalone form for practitioners, executives, and organizations seeking to strengthen their ethics

Excerpted from The Compliant Enterprise © 2026 ARH Global Advisors LLC. All rights reserved. For educational use only. Not legal, regulatory, or professional responsibility advice.

Part One

Ethics & Compliance Program Development

An effective compliance program is not a set of rules posted on a wall. It is a living system of policies, training, oversight, and culture that actually changes how people behave when no one is watching.

Why Compliance Programs Fail

Most compliance program failures are not the result of bad rules. They are the result of programs that exist on paper but not in practice. A compliance manual that no one has read, a code of conduct that no one enforces, and a hotline that no one trusts are worse than no program at all — they create the illusion of compliance without the substance.

The most common failure modes are programs designed to satisfy regulators rather than to prevent misconduct, leadership that treats compliance as someone else's job, inadequate training that teaches rules without explaining reasons, and the absence of any real consequence for violations. Each of these failures shares a common root: the organization treated ethics as an administrative obligation rather than a leadership commitment.

“A compliance manual that no one has read, a code of conduct that no one enforces, and a hotline that no one trusts are worse than no program at all — they create the illusion of compliance without the substance.”

The Seven Elements of an Effective Program

The United States Sentencing Guidelines provide the most widely cited framework for effective compliance programs, identifying seven essential elements. Together, these elements form not just a legal safe harbor but a practical architecture for organizations that want ethics to function in practice rather than only on paper.

1. Written Policies and Procedures

Policies must be specific enough to guide behavior and accessible enough that people actually consult them. A policy that lives in a binder on a shelf and is reviewed at onboarding and never again is not a functioning control. Policies should reflect the real situations employees encounter, be written in plain language, and be reviewed and updated regularly.

2. Designated Compliance Oversight

Someone must own the compliance function with genuine authority and adequate resources. This is not a collateral duty to be assigned to whoever has capacity. The compliance function must have access to leadership, the ability to escalate concerns without organizational filtering, and the independence to identify problems even when doing so is uncomfortable.

3. Training and Education

Training must be regular, role-specific, and designed to build understanding rather than satisfy a checkbox. People comply with rules they understand and believe in far more reliably than rules that were read to them once a year. The most effective compliance training explains what can go wrong when the rules are not followed — and what the consequences look like for the organization and the individuals within it.

4. Communication Channels

Every ethics and compliance program needs effective mechanisms for people to raise concerns, ask questions, and report potential violations. These mechanisms must be accessible, confidential to the degree practicable, and — most critically — trusted. A reporting channel that employees believe will expose them to retaliation, that produces no visible response, or that has been associated with prior incidents in which reporters were punished rather than protected will not be used.

5. Monitoring and Auditing

Compliance programs that are never tested are compliance programs that will eventually fail. Effective monitoring includes both ongoing activities — supervisory reviews, exception reports, system alerts — and periodic evaluations such as internal audits and compliance reviews. The purpose is not to catch wrongdoing after the fact but to identify gaps in the program before they become regulatory problems.

6. Consistent Enforcement

Selective enforcement destroys the credibility of any compliance program. When violations by senior personnel are handled differently than violations by junior employees — or when violations are handled

differently depending on the perceived value of the person involved — the message to the entire organization is that the rules are not real. Consequences must be appropriate, proportionate, and consistent.

7. Response and Correction

When violations occur, the organization must investigate promptly, take corrective action, and modify its program to address the root cause. A compliance program that punishes individuals but does not examine and correct the systemic conditions that allowed the violation is a program that will produce the same violation again.

Tone at the Top

No compliance program succeeds without genuine commitment from organizational leadership. When leaders treat compliance as a burden to be minimized, that message communicates itself instantly and completely to everyone in the organization. When leaders model ethical conduct, take compliance seriously, and hold themselves to the same standards they expect of others, the culture reflects that too.

Tone at the top is not a slogan. It is the single most powerful determinant of whether an organization's compliance program functions in practice. It cannot be manufactured through policy language or training content. It is demonstrated through behavior — specifically, through the behavior of leaders in situations where ethical conduct is costly or inconvenient.

Compliance Program Assessment

An effective compliance program requires periodic self-assessment to determine whether it is actually working. Assessment should examine whether policies are current and reflect actual practice, whether training is reaching all relevant personnel and producing genuine understanding, whether reporting channels are being used and whether users trust them, whether monitoring activities are identifying real issues before they become regulatory problems, and whether the organization's culture genuinely supports ethical conduct.

Assessment findings must be documented and used to improve the program. A compliance program that never changes in response to assessment findings is a program that is not being assessed honestly. The goal of assessment is not to demonstrate that the program is working — it is to identify where it is not working so that it can be improved.

Part Two

Building an Organizational Ethics Culture

Every governance structure, compliance program, and internal control ultimately depends on people making good decisions in real situations. That is a question of culture — and culture is built or eroded by leadership behavior, organizational systems, and the daily experience of working in the organization.

Ethics as a Leadership Function

Ethics in an organization is not primarily a compliance function. It is a leadership function. Leaders set the culture through their own conduct, through the conduct they reward and the conduct they tolerate, through the decisions they make under pressure and the decisions they make when no one is watching.

An organization whose leaders consistently model integrity — who honor commitments even when it is inconvenient, who acknowledge mistakes rather than concealing them, who treat people fairly even when fairness is costly — will have a fundamentally different culture than one whose leaders treat ethics as a constraint to be worked around. No compliance program, however well designed, can substitute for this.

“No compliance program, however well designed, can substitute for leadership that models the conduct it expects. Culture is set from the top — and it flows in only one direction.”

Building an ethics culture begins with hiring. Organizations that screen for values as well as competence, that communicate their ethical expectations clearly during the hiring process, and that assess cultural fit in terms of demonstrated integrity are starting from a stronger foundation than those that assume ethics can be instilled through training alone.

It continues with onboarding, in which new employees learn not just the rules but the reasons behind them and the values that guide the organization. Rules without reasons invite workarounds. People who understand why a rule exists are far more likely to follow it when the rule does not quite fit a situation they encounter — and to apply its spirit rather than looking for an exception.

It is sustained through performance management, in which ethical conduct is recognized and rewarded, and through the consistent application of consequences when ethical standards are violated. Performance systems that measure only financial outcomes and ignore how those outcomes were achieved will produce organizations that achieve financial outcomes by any available means.

Codes of Conduct

A code of conduct is the foundational document of an organizational ethics program. It translates the organization's values into behavioral expectations that

apply to everyone in the organization regardless of role or seniority.

An effective code of conduct is written in accessible language that employees at every level can understand. It covers the situations that employees actually encounter rather than abstract principles that sound important but provide no guidance when a specific decision must be made. It provides clear direction on what to do when situations are ambiguous — not just what the rules prohibit but how to reason through situations the rules do not explicitly address.

It identifies the resources available when employees have questions or concerns — who to ask, how to raise a concern, what to do if the normal channel is unavailable or compromised. And it is acknowledged in writing by every covered person annually, not as a formality but as a genuine reaffirmation of the organization's expectations.

The code of conduct is not a legal document designed to minimize liability. It is a statement of who the organization aspires to be, and it should be written and treated accordingly.

Reporting Mechanisms

Trust is the critical variable in any reporting system. A reporting mechanism that employees believe will expose them to retaliation, that produces no visible response, or that is associated with prior incidents in which reporters were punished rather than protected will not be used. And a reporting mechanism that is not used is not a compliance control — it is a liability.

Building trust in reporting mechanisms requires four consistent practices. First, reporters must be consistently

protected — not just in policy but in practice, including in the informal ways that organizations signal whether raising concerns is genuinely welcome. Second, reports must be consistently investigated. Third, responses must be consistently communicated — demonstrating that the report was taken seriously and that something happened as a result. Fourth, the system must be consistently accessible — available in multiple formats and without barriers that discourage use.

“A reporting mechanism that is not used is not a compliance control. It is a liability. The measure of an effective reporting system is not whether it exists — it is whether people trust it enough to use it.”

What Ethical Leadership Looks Like in Practice

Ethical leadership is demonstrated in specific, observable behaviors. It means making difficult decisions transparently rather than avoiding them. It means acknowledging when the organization made a mistake and describing what will be done differently — rather than defending the mistake or allowing it to be quietly forgotten.

It means applying rules consistently rather than selectively. When senior leaders are held to the same standards as everyone else — when the high performer who violated policy is treated the same way as the average performer who violated policy — the message to the entire organization is that the rules are real. When they are not, the message is the opposite.

It means creating genuine space for dissent. Organizations in which no one ever disagrees with leadership are not organizations with unusually good judgment. They are organizations in which people have learned that raising concerns is not safe. Ethical leaders actively solicit disagreement, reward candor, and demonstrate through their responses to unwelcome news that raising concerns is valued rather than penalized.

Continuous Improvement

An organizational ethics culture is not a destination — it is a continuous effort. As organizations grow, their governance challenges change. As industries evolve, their regulatory obligations and ethical expectations shift. As personnel change, the culture must be actively renewed rather than assumed to persist.

Continuous improvement in an ethics program means regularly assessing the program's effectiveness through honest evaluation rather than confirmation of what leadership wants to hear. It means incorporating lessons from incidents and near-misses. It means staying current with regulatory developments and industry standards. And it means maintaining the leadership commitment that makes everything else possible.

An ethics culture that is treated as a project to be completed will not survive the next leadership transition. An ethics culture that is treated as a permanent organizational priority — one that is visible in how decisions are made, how people are treated, and what the organization celebrates and condemns — will outlast any individual leader.

*“Good governance is not just about compliance
— it is about leadership, integrity, and the
long-term value that only trustworthy
organizations can build and sustain.”*

About This Excerpt

This excerpt is drawn from two chapters of *The Compliant Enterprise: Ethics, Fiduciary Governance & Risk Management for Business Leaders* by Alejandro Hernandez III, J.D., published by ARH Global Advisors LLC. The full manual covers ten dimensions of enterprise governance:

- Fiduciary Governance Principles
- Ethics & Compliance Program Development
- Internal Controls & Accountability Systems
- Enterprise Risk Management
- Anti-Money Laundering Awareness
- Conflict of Interest Management
- Documentation & Recordkeeping Standards
- Governance Oversight Structures
- Real Estate & Financial Services Compliance
- Building an Organizational Ethics Culture

The manual also includes a complete Compliance Program Self-Assessment and professional resources from SCCE, NAIFA, CCIM, the American Bar Association, LIMRA, and FATF.

About the Author

Alejandro Hernandez III, J.D. is a business advisor, commercial real estate professional, insurance professional, and fiduciary governance advocate.

Managing Member of ARH Global Advisors LLC, advising businesses, family offices, real estate organizations, insurance professionals, and closely held enterprises on governance, compliance, risk management, and fiduciary best practices. SCCE, NAIFA, CCIM Institute, American Bar Association affiliations. LIMRA AML Certification. Licensed real estate broker in New York and California.

New York · Los Angeles · Austin
arhglobaladvisors.com · alejandrorhernandez.com

© 2026 ARH Global Advisors LLC. Excerpted for educational use. This excerpt does not constitute legal, regulatory, or professional responsibility advice. All rights reserved.